Comparative Study of Principles and Requirements Related to the ElectoralOversight Body and the Process Governing the Announcement of Election Results

Ali Fattahi zafarghandi¹ | Mohammad Javad Shafaghi² | Mohammad Hassan Abolhasani³ | Mohammad Matin Salmani^{4*}

- 1. Assistant Professor, Guardian Council Research Institute, Tehran, Iran
 - 2. PhD student in Public Law, University of Tehran, Iran
- 3. Master's student in International Law, Imam Sadeq University, Tehran, Iran
- 4. Ph.D Candidate in political science, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Currently, the right to self-determination and political participation of the populace through elections is recognized as a fundamental right in numerous political systems, and it is regarded as a crucial component of the social and political life of those societies. Elections are an important and eloquent tool in the direction of operationalizing the political participation of citizens and determining their political destiny, and several assumptions must be considered in this regard. One of the most significant of these cases is the institution supervising the elections and the principles supervising its formation and operation, which ultimately leads to the announcement of the election results by this institution or its approval. In the meantime, governments and political systems, according to their political and legal conditions, principles, and ideology, determine an institution to monitor the election process, which, of course, grants it a wide range of powers. However, with this existence, from an existential point of view, is the formation of the monitoring institution from the requirements of democratic political systems to protect the rights of the nation. Another point is that the supervisory body as well as the stage of announcing the results in elections around the world have requirements and principles that create the conditions for their formation. In this article, in the beginning, the same principles were extracted and explained for the body supervising the elections as well as the process of announcing the results, and in the next stage, a comparative study was also conducted in this regard by studying cases in other countries with democratic elections. The monitoring body and the process of announcing the results were examined in the following two sections, and its principles and requirements were extracted. Principles such as legality, sovereignty, transparency, neutrality, and independence were discussed. The legality of the supervisory institution includes a kind of legal-rational legitimacy; in this sense, not only legitimacy is

^{*}Corresponding Author Email: matinsalmani99@gmail.com



Journal of Contemporary Comparative Legal Studies

something that is measured by common sense; rather, the relevant laws and the government and its institutions have officially recognized that thing. About the principle of "Sovereignty" as well it should be noted that this concept is due to the establishment of an institution of supreme sovereignty in a government, in the sense that the formation and continuity of an institution in a government have been made possible by the text of the law approved by the government and have become a factor in creating public authority for the said institution. Another principle was transparency, the deliberate disclosure of information for the benefit of citizens, as an important indicator in contemporary democratic governments. The principle of impartiality also states that the decisions of a person, organization, or institution must be based on objective criteria and not based on bias, prejudice, or preferring the benefit of one person or group over another for personal and unfair reasons. At the end of the first part of the article, the principle of independence was discussed. The concept of "independence" in its nature means non-dependence and freedom of action "in oneself." It should be said about the independence of a supervisory institution that an institution has an independence that no agent or part of the political structure can influence it due to financial, political, military-security, and moral pressures. In the meantime, some international lawsuits were examined, and the importance of the issue of the legality of the supervisory body in other countries was also verified.

In the second part of this research, the principles and requirements of the results announcement process were investigated, explained, and verified in a comparative study. In this section, the principle of "accountability" was raised and expressed. The philosophy of this principle is that the citizens of a country should be aware of the bases and reasons for the decisions taken by the electoral institutions. "Being documented and justified" was another principle that was examined, and it was explained that the implementation of this principle will be a guarantee for the rule of law because it makes it possible for people to have criteria for evaluating public decisions and applying these decisions to the law. Also, the principle of "confidentiality and preservation of dignity in the announcement of results" was examined as an important principle, where the lack of this principle can be a cause of anxiety in the public mind and harm the dignity of the election candidate. The principle of "litigation" also states that candidates, in case of rejection or failure to qualify, can legally protest this decision to the competent authorities and even the supervisory body itself. The principle of "announcement of results in writing" was one of the other principles that were emphasized and paid attention to by mentioning the cases related to election lawsuits as well as related regulations in other countries.

In Iran, with the victory of the Islamic Revolution, the Guardian Council performs its duties as a supervisory body. On the other hand, this institution, along with the Ministry of Interior, is also responsible for announcing the results. In matters of governance and legality, in all types of elections, including the presidency, the Islamic Council, and the Council of Leadership Experts, the Guardian Council has inherent legal authority to exercise supervision and decision-making and is also responsible for handling complaints. From the point of view of the independence and impartiality of this institution, the Guardian Council needs appropriate administrative structures and



Journal of Contemporary Comparative Legal Studies

organizations to fulfill its duties and powers, and this depends on the independence of the vote in its internal and organizational affairs, as well as the impartiality of its members and agents in the matter of elections. The Guardian Council in Iran, the Constitutional Council in France, the Special Election Council in Japan, etc. are all used to understand the concept of the supervisory body in various countries and governments. In the meantime, we can conclude that the nature of these institutions is the same, but they have different powers or components from each other; however, the important conclusion is that due to the same nature of these institutions, the existence of such an institution is to enable and protect the right to self-determination and the political participation of citizens during the elections, both in the role of "voter" and in the role of "elect," is essential and fundamental.

Keywords: Keywords: eligibility verification, principles and requirements, result announcement, elections, oversight body.



Journal of Contemporary Comparative Legal Studies

References

Books

- 1. Bendix R. Max Weber: an intellectual portrait. Psychology Press; 1998.
- 2. Crone, P. (2022). Pre-industrial societies, Tehran: Mahi. [In Persian]
- 3. Dehkhoda, Ali-Akbar, (2005). Dehkhoda dictionary (two volumes), first volume, Tehran: University of Tehran. [In Persian]
- 4. Ghazi, A. (2012), Droit Constitutionnel et Institutions Politiques, Tehran: Mizan [In Persian]
- 5. Giddens, A., & Griffiths, S. (2006). Sociology. Polity.
- 6. Houlihan, B., & Policy, S. (2013). Accountability and good governance. Action for Good Governance in International Sports Organisations.
- 7. Madani, S. J. (2000). Comparative Basic Laws, Tehran: Paydar. [In Persian]
- 8. Montesquieu, Ch. (1964). The Spirit of the Laws, translated by Ali Akbar Mehtadi, Tehran: Amir Kabir. [In Persian]
- 9. Rousseau, D., Gahdoun, P. Y., Bonnet, J., & Vedel, G. (2016). Droit du contentieux constitutionnel, Paris: Montchrestien.
- 10. Sheikh-ul-Islami, M. (2019). Comparative Constitutional Law: The Constitutional Law of the United States of America, England, France and Germany, Shiraz Publishing. [In Persian]

Articles

- 11. Abolhassani, M. (2014). research report on getting to know the concepts of public law, examining the concept of "independence", Tehran: Guardian Council Research Institute. [In Persian]
- 12. Adler, E. S., & Hall, T. E. (2013). Ballots, transparency, and democracy. Election Law Journal, 12(2), 146-161.
- 13. Alaee, H. (2020). A Comparative Study of the Electoral Justice Mechanism in England and the International Principles Governing Electoral Justice. *Journal of Legal Research*, 19(44), 297-324. [In Persian] Doi: 10.48300/jlr.2020.120710
- 14. bozorgmehri, M. (2007). Une étude comparative sur les conditions des électeurs et celles des candidats dans l'élections presidentielle en Iran et France. *Basic Rights*, 4(7), 45-55. [In Persian]
- 15. Eisenstadt, Tood.A. (2002). Measuring Electoral Court Failure in Democratizing Mexico, International Political Science Review, 23 (1), 47-68.
- 16. Gert, B. (1995). Moral Impartiality. Midwest Studies in Philosophy, 20(1), 102-128.
- 17. Hajipoor, P., Faghih Habibi, A., & Habibzadeh, T. (2023). The Principle of Reasoning, Documenting, and Justifying the Opinions of Quasi-Judicial



Journal of Contemporary Comparative Legal Studies

- Authorities by Examining Judicial Procedure. *Private Law Research*, 11(42), 277-314. [In Persian] Doi: 10.22054/jplr.2023.68027.2675
- 18. Hollyer, J. R., Rosendorff, B. P., & Vreeland, J. R. (2011). Democracy and transparency. The Journal of Politics, 73(4), 1191-1205.
- 19. Jashari, M., & Pepaj, I. (2018). The role of the principle of transparency and accountability in Public Administration. Acta Universitatis Danubius. Administratio, 10(1), 60-69.
- 20. Moradkhani, F., & Sabaghi Valashani, E. (2022). The Limits of the Independence of the Constitutional Council (Administrative, Employment and Organizational Independence of the Constitutional Council). Jurisprudence and Islamic Law, 13(26), 215-235. [In Persian] Doi: 10.22034/law.2021.46318.2917
- 21. Munck, G. L., & Verkuilen, J. (2002). Conceptualizing and measuring democracy: Evaluating alternative indices. Comparative political studies, 35(1), 5-34.
- 22. Murjani, M., & Sagama, S. (2022). The Existence of The Election Supervisory Agency Post 2024 Based on Positive Law. Syiah Kuala Law Journal, 6(3), 242-256.
- 23. Rasekh, M., & Rafeei, M. R. (2014). Government and the Good Life: Study of the Neutrality Approach. *Jurisprudence and Islamic Law*, 1(1), 109-131. [In Persian]
- 24. Rezaeizadeh, M. J., & Davari, M. (2016). Foundations and Principles of Ascertaining the Competency of Representation of the Islamic Consultative Assembly. *Public Law Knowledge Quarterly*, 5(14), 117-142. [In Persian]
- 25. Sule, B., Mohd Sani, M. A., & Mat, B. (2017). Independent National Electoral Commission and Campaign Financing Monitoring in Nigeria: The 2015 General Elections. Journal of International Studies, 13, 15–31.
- 26. Suyatno, S. The General Election Process Dispute Settlement Procedure By Election Supervisory Agency. In Proceeding of International Conference on The Law Development For Public Welfare. 1(1), 180-189.
- 27. Tetang, F. (2016). À propos de la qualité des décisions du Conseil constitutionnel (Réflexions à la lumière de la jurisprudence récente) Revue française de droit constitutionnel, 108(4). 1-36. Doi:10.3917/rfdc.108.0987.
- 28. World Bank. (2016), Making politics work for development: harnessing transparency and citizen engagement. The World Bank.
- 29. Zarei, Mohammad Hossein, (2001). the process of popularization, accountability and government management, useful letter, Qom Higher Education Complex, 3(9), 121-155. [In Persian]



Journal of Contemporary Comparative Legal Studies

Websites

- 30. ARRÊT TAIANI c. ITALIE. (2006). In Cour Européenne Des Droits De L'Homme. https://www.eods.eu/elex/uploads/files/57c9471e7d358-TAIANI%-20c.%20ITALIE.pdf
- 31. CASE OF TĂNASE V. MOLDOVA. (2010). https://www.eods.eu/elex/uploads/files/57c448d285d90-TANASE%20v.%20MOLDOVA.pdf
- 32. Case of The Communist Party of Russia and Others V. Russia. (2012). https://www.eods.eu/elex/uploads/files/57ce9d47277d0-COMMUNIST%20-PARTY%20OF%20RUSSIA%20AND%20OTHERS%20v.%20RUSSIA.pdf
- 33. Challenging Elections in the UK, (2012)," Electoral Commission", https://www.electoralcommission.org.uk/media/4005.
- 34. Covenant on Civil and Political Rights https://iran.un.org/en/106018-international-covenant-civil-and-political-rights International
- 35. European Convention on Human Rights available at:
 https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=&cad=r
 ja&uact=8&ved=2ahUKEwih6om_qP-CAxUsYPEDHVB0AlwQFnoECA4QAQ&url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.echr.coe.int%2Fdocuments%2Fd%2Fechr%2F
 convention_ENG&usg=AOvVaw2znO_HcjW6NnBU24DZUXqD&opi=899784
 49
- 36. Fenster, M. (2005). The Opacity of Transparency. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.686998
- 37. Fongum Gorji-Dinka v. Cameroon available at: https://www.eods.eu/elex/uploads/files/578e4f24b4f61-Gorji-Dinka%20v.%20Cameroon.pdf
- 38. Green, R. (2014). Rethinking Transparency in U.S. Elections. William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository. https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/facpubs/1724
- 39. Human Rights Committee, Paksas, R., & Neuman, G. L. (2014). Views of the Human Rights Committee under article 5, paragraph 4, of the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (110th session) concerning Communication No. 2155/2012. In S. Tomas & United Nations, International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. https://www.eods.eu/elex/uploads/files/57c43878b315a-Paksas%20v.%20Lithuania%20(UNHRC%20-%202155-2012).pdf
- 40. Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary
- 41. Murray, J., Grimsley, S., Olson, E., Broer, I., & Olson Grimsley Kawanabe Hinchcliff & Murray Llc. (2023). Motion of the Anderson Respondents to Expedite Briefing on the Petitions for a Writ of Certiorari and for Expedited Merits Briefing if the Court Grants the Petitions. in the Supreme Court of the United States. https://www.supremecourt.gov/DocketPDF/23/23-696/294453/20231228124722255_20231228%20Anderson%20Resp%20Motion%20to%20Expedite.pdf



Journal of Contemporary Comparative Legal Studies

- 42. PETKOV AND OTHERS v. BULGARIA. (2009). https://www.eods.eu/elex/uploads/files/57c4469fbe072-PETKOV%20AND%20OTHERS%20v.%20Bulgaria.pdf
- 43. Phillips, A., & Phillips, A. (1980). DECISION of 18 December 1980 on the admissibility of the application (A. Phillips, Interviewer). https://www.eods.eu/elex/uploads/files/57cd7746a0e1d-LIBERAL%20PARTY,%20R.%20and%20P-.%20v.%20the%20UNITED%20KINGDOM.pdf
- 44. Sabete, W. (2011). De l'insuffisante argumentation des décisions du Conseil constitutionnel. L'Actualité Juridique. Droit Administratif, 16, 885. https://halshs.archives-ouvertes.fr/halshs-02219679
- 45. Trump is disqualified from 2024 ballot, Colorado court says in explosive ruling. (2023, March 16). The New York Times. https://www.nytimes.com/2023/12/-19/us/politics/trump-colorado-ballot-14th-amendment.html
- 46. Vajić, N., Steiner, E., Hajiyev, K., Trajkovska, M. L., Laffranque, J., Sicilianos, L.-A., & Møse, E. (2012). CASE OF HAJILI v. AZERBAIJAN. European Court of Human Rights. https://www.eods.eu/elex/uploads/files/57ce99610e722-HAJILI%20v.%20AZERBAIJAN.pdf

Laws

- 47. Constitutions françaises
- 48. The Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Iran [In Persian]
- 49. Presidential Election Law approved 1985/6/26 [In Persian]
- 50. Election Law of the Islamic Council, Amendment 2023/7/23 [In Persian]