# A Comparative Study of Extended Civil Sources in the Legal System of England, Ireland and Iran

## Hossein Sobhani<sup>1\*</sup> Behzad Razavifard<sup>2</sup>

- 1. Ph.D. Candidate in Criminal Law and Criminology, Allameh Tabatabai University, Tehran, Iran
  - 2. Associate Professor of Allameh Tabatabai University, Tehran, Iran

\*Corresponding Author Email: hemmmmat.ebrahim@gmail.com

## **Abstract**

Globalization led to the elimination of borders; After that, new forms of crimes came to the fore; On the other hand, new tools to deal with it were also established in the legal systems, including these institutions, which are often used to deal with organized crimes such as money laundering, is extended confiscation. Transnational organized crime, insisting on this type of expropriation, has expanded in the politicization of countries. Proving the relationship between property and crime and convicting the criminal is a basic condition in traditional confiscation in criminal proceedings. But in practice, it was very difficult to obtain sufficient evidence in this case; As a result, "extensive confiscation" emerged Thus, if a suspect commits certain crimes for financial gain, it is assumed that there are more properties whose origin is criminal. Therefore, extended confiscation extends to properties other than those that are directly related to the crime; One of the types of this type of confiscation is extended civil confiscation; Extended civil confiscation in the legal system of England and Ireland are among the leading legal systems in this field; The 2002 UK Proceeds of Crime Act is elaborated in twelve sections; In this law, there are four types of recovery of proceeds from crime. Regarding extended civil confiscation, the fifth section of the UK Criminal Proceeds Act has compiled two regimes for the recovery of civil property and cash under extended confiscation without criminal conviction; Recovery of civil property can be implemented regarding any property or any person wherever they are. The process of handling property recovery is independent from the handling of crime and criminal conviction. Because the standard of proof in criminal proceedings is "evidence beyond a reasonable doubt", while in the proceedings of extended civil confiscation, the standard of proof is "balance of probabilities". Proceedings regarding property recovery can be applied against any person suspected by the competent authority. Recoverable property is property acquired through illegal conduct The cash recovery regime prescribes confiscation where the cash is or is intended to be used in criminal activity. Proceedings of this type of recovery are done in the peace court. The burden of proof is initially on the claimant (for example, the National Crime Agency) and the standard of proof is the balance of probabilities. The components of extended confiscation in the Irish legal



# Journal of Contemporary Comparative Legal Studies

system are explained in two laws: 1) Proceeds of Crime Act 1996, which was amended in 2005 and 2016; 2) Criminal Assets Administration Act 1996.

The extensive confiscation system in the two legal systems of England and Ireland includes several components: 1) the component of existence of suspicious behavior and conditions; that what is important is the criminal behavior (circumstances indicating the criminal acquisition of property) and not the criminal title, which can be the introduction of the other two components of extended civil confiscation This method of proof also originates from the independence of money laundering and replacing the criminal behavior in the original crime2) the component of reducing the value of proof evidence; In such a way that in order to be convicted as a criminal, it is necessary that the reason "beyond reasonable doubt" be established against the principle of innocence in such a way that only with the balance of probabilities, the court will be able to make a definitive determination to find the accused guilty; 3) the revolution component of the dispute; After the Emirates and the circumstances governing the accused's behavior indicate the illegal origin of the person's property, the burden of proof is reversed. In fact, in the normal process, the principle is on the legitimacy of the property; However, in extended civil confiscation, the defendant must prove the legitimacy of the origin of his property based on the balance of probabilities.

From an executive point of view, there is a special institution to follow up on extended civil confiscation; In England, the National Crime Agency has been established alongside the Public Prosecutor's Office, and in Ireland, the Civil Assets Department has been established; Also, it is possible for the law enforcers to provide sufficient evidence based on the balance of probabilities to the court even within 2 to 7 years by formulating special provision orders such as temporary order orders, unjustified (unknown) wealth orders.

In Iran's legal system, the "law on dealing with the property of ministers and employees approved in 1337", "the law amending the anti-money laundering law approved in 1397" and the "law on adding articles to the law on the implementation of the forty-ninth (49) principle" approved in 1399 are the closest The confiscation system is similar to the expanded civil confiscation system; In this study, with the aim of pathology and optimization of the legal system governing the confiscation of property related to crime in the Islamic Republic of Iran, with a comparative view, the components of the extended civil confiscation system in the legal systems of England and Ireland are described and based on the resulting approach, the legal system of Iran is assessed and analyzed. It is decided that through this channel, effective proposals will be presented for the purpose of amending the laws governing the field of property related to crime;

In the comparative approach, "criminal behavior", "reduction of the value of evidence" and "litigation revolution" are considered three components of extended civil confiscation; Ambiguity in the "Equality of Arms Standard" in the matter of the method of proof, ambiguity in "reduction of proof evidence" and lack of "necessary preliminary agreements" to optimize the implementation of extended civil confiscation can be counted among the damages of the legal system governing extended civil confiscation in Iran's legal system; With regard to the expanded civil confiscation system in the



Journal of Contemporary Comparative Legal Studies

English legal system, it is suggested to formulate the unjustifiable (unknown) wealth in the law amending the anti-money laundering law approved in 2017 and its executive regulations; to provide the possibility of implementing extended civil confiscation for law enforcers as the executive arms of the criminal justice system; The criterion for reducing the value of proof of litigation in the extended confiscation stated in the law amending the anti-money laundering law approved in 2017 causes the law to become subjective and self-interpreting; Because the concepts used are ambiguous and have multiple interpretations; In the legal system of England and Ireland, "balance of probabilities" is recognized as an evidentiary rule. In Iran's legal system, the confiscation of property subject to extensive civil confiscation during the investigation phase is limited to only 24 hours or 48 hours, while considering the purpose of extensive civil confiscation in the fight against property resulting from organized crimes, it is necessary to give enough time to the law enforcers; The executive powers and components of extended civil confiscation explained in the executive regulation of article 14 of money laundering approved in 2018, should not be exclusive to the National Financial Information Center; Applying the general amendment of "its legal dimensions as an independent crime" in Article 146 of the executive regulation of Article 14, cannot express the effects of the independence of the crime of money laundering in the set of criminal laws; The independence of the money laundering crime is the last term of extended civil confiscation. In this regard, it is necessary to explain the components of this type of confiscation. It should be noted that this research was conducted with a descriptive-analytical method and it uses the library method in collecting sources.

**Keywords:** components of extended civil confiscation, England, Ireland, Iran.



# Journal of Contemporary Comparative Legal Studies

## References

#### **Books**

- 1. Brussels, (2014). Center for the Study of Democracy, Disposal of Confiscated Assets in the EU Member States, Press: Laws and Practices.
- 2. Delir, Hamid, (2016). Property Related to Crime in Iranian Law, England and International Documents, Tehran: Shahid Beheshti University. [In Persian]
- 3. FATF (2018-2012). International Standards on Combating Money Laundering and the Financing of Terrorism & Proliferation, Paris: Published by FATF.
- 4. FATF (2012). Best Practices on Confiscation (Recommendations 4 and 38 and A Framework For Ongoing Work on Asset Recovery Paris: Published by FATF.
- 5. FATF, (2018). Methodology for Assessing Compliance with the FATF Recommendations and the Effectiveness of AML/CFT Systems Paris: Published by FATF.
- 6. FATF, (2018).President's Paper: Anti-money Laundering and Counter Terrorist Financing for Judges and Prosecutors, Paris: Published by FATF.
- 7. Grant Shapps(2006) ,The report Underperformance of the Assets Recovery ,press:hi/uk\_politics.
- 8. Guy Stessens, (2000). Money Laundering: A New International Law Enforcement Model, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press,
- 9. Home Secretary, (2016). Amber Rudd's Speech on Economic Crime at the Financial Conduct Authority Annual Crime Conference RESS: gov.uk/government.
- 10. Jon Petter Rui / Ulrich (2015). Sieber ! Non-Conviction-Based Confiscation in Europe, Berlin: Max Planck
- 11. Klentiana Mahmutaj (2009). Cash Forfeiture Following Acquittal: 'An Affront to Public Perception' or a Breach of a Fundamental Human Right? Press: Criminal Law Review
- 12. Letubian, Masoud, (2007). Iran's Legislative Criminal Policy in Economic Crimes, Tehran: Javadane Publications. [In Persian]
- 13. Mohammadi, Hamid, (1375). Confiscation, Confiscation, and Restitution of property, Tehran: Ganj Danesh. [In Persian]
- 14. Moj Institute, (1389). Anti-Corruption Policies in Asia and the Pacific, Tehran: Majlis Research Center Publishing. [In Persian]
- 15. Noorzad, Mojtabi, (1389). Economic Crimes in Iranian Criminal Law, Tehran: Jangal Publications, Javadane. [In Persian]
- 16. Pakjo, Afshin and Aghamohammadi, Mansour, (2010). Getting to Know the Principles of Restitution of Assets Caused by Corruption, Tehran: Special



# Journal of Contemporary Comparative Legal Studies

Media. [In Persian]

- 17. Palizian, Mehdi, (2017). Developments in the Study of Reason in the Economic Crime of Money Laundering with a Comparative Approach of International Documents and Regulations of Iran and England, Shahrood: Islamic Azad University. [In Persian]
- 18. Rui JP de Figueiredo Jr. (2011) The Civil Asset Forfeiture Approach to Organized Crime: Eucrim.
- 19. Saki, Mohammad Reza, (2019). Economic Criminal Law, Tehran: Jungle Publications. [In Persian]Stefan D. Cassella; (2013). Asset Recovery: The American Experience that Appeared in Eucrim, The European:Criminal Law Associations.

## **Articles**

- 20. Ahadi, Fatemeh, Kermanian, Paryosh, (1400). The Possibility of Operationalizing the Institution of Transaction of Charge in Iran with an Emphasis on Managerialism of Criminal Law, Contemporary Comparative Law Studies. 12(22), 1-22. [In Persian] Doi: 10.22034/LAW.2021.12948
- 21. Anthony, Kennedy, (2004) Justifying the Civil Recovery of Criminal Proceeds, Journal of Financial Crime,
- 22. F. Alagna, (2015). Non-Conviction Based Confiscation: Why the EU Directive is a Missed Opportunity, European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research,
- 23. Ghamami, Majid, Comparative Research on the Characteristics of the Optimal Judicial System, Scientific Journal of Contemporary Comparative Law Studies, 13(27)149-170. [In Persian]. Doi: 10.22034/LAW.2021.46656.2929
- 24. Sanei, Saeed, Hosseini, Seyed Hossein; Seyyed Zadeh Thani, Seyyed Mahdi, (1401). Referring the Additional Article 45 of the Anti-Narcotics Law to Criminal Jurisprudence; Limitation or Development of Strict Criminal Policy, Scientific Journal of Contemporary Comparative Law Studies, 13(27). 148-123. Doi: 10.22034/LAW.2021.45826.2891
- 25. Sofia Milone (2017). On the Borders of Criminal Law. A Tentative Assessment of Italian Non-Conviction Based Extended Confiscation, New Journal of European Criminal Law, Vol<sup>a</sup> The Author(s) (Reprints and permissionssagepub.co.uk/journals Permissions.
- 26. Stefan, Cassella, (2008). The Case for Civil Forfeiture, Why in Rem Proceedings are an Essential Tool for Recovering the Proceeds of Crime, Journal of Money Laundering Control.
- 27. Yousefian Shuredeli, Behnam, (2010). A New Approach to the Property of Criminals in Organized or Economic Crime, a Comparative Study in Iranian and French Law", Legal Research Journal. 3(13). [In Persian]



#### Cases

- 28. Judgmental Procedure According to the Supreme Court in F McK. v AF and JPMF [ 2005 ] 2 IR 163
- 29. Judgmental Procedure Imran Ahmed v HMCRC [2013] EWHC 2241 (Admin) [49].
- 30. judgmental procedure InSerious Organised Crime Agency v Hymans [2011] EWHC 3332 (QB),
- 31. Judgmental Procedure Murphy v M(G) [2001] 4 IR 113, at para 108. 'CAB v Kelly & Anor [2012] IRSC 64, Para 32;
- 32. Judgmental Procedure, Gilligan v CAB [1998] 3 IR 185, Paras 78 101, confirmed by the Supreme Court on Appeal in Murphy v M(G) [2001] 4 IR 113, Paras 71–127.
- 33. Judgmental ProcedureMcK v D [2004] 2 ILRM 419.
- 34. Judgmental ProcedurePer Williams J in SOCA v Gale and Others [2009] EWHC 1015 (QB) [17]. This Approach was Confirmed in SOCA v Coughlan [2012] EWHC 429 (QB) [14].
- 35. Judgmental Procedureper Williams J inSOCA v Gale and Others [2009] EWHC 1015 (QB) [17]. This Approach was Confirmed in SOCA v Coughlan [2012] EWHC 429 (QB) [14].
- 36. Judgmental Procedurethe Director of ARA and Others v Green and Others [2005] EWHC 3168 (Admin) [33].

## **Reports**

- 1. Report of the 183884 Session of the Guardian Council's Jurisprudential Advisory Assembly Dated 3/6/1397 and 3/7/1397. P. 1 and 2 [In Persian]
- 2. Opinion Report of the Legal Consultative Assembly of the Guardian Council regarding the Anti-Money Laundering Bill, report code 9703028; Publication date: 8/3/2017. [In Persian]